Case: 4:20-cr-00707-AGF-SRW Doc. #: 15 Filed: 11/02/20 Page: 1 of 3 PageID #: 37

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Missouri

United States of America)	
V.)	Case No. 4:20-MJ-1283 JMB
MATTHEW THILGES	_)	
Defendant	,	

MATTHEW THILGES) Case 100. 4.20-1013-1203 J1v1D
Defendant)
ORDER OF DETENT	ΓΙΟΝ PENDING TRIAL
Part I - Eligib	ility for Detention
Upon the	
✓ Motion of the Government attorney pursua✓ Motion of the Government or Court's own	
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i),	is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact, in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.
Part II - Findings of Fact and Lav	w as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
and the community because the following conditions (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum (b) an offense for which the maximum serical controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) jurisdiction had existed, or a combination	ditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person have been met: ollowing crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or entence is life imprisonment or death; or n of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the § 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or onvicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal n of such offenses; or
(iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv)	a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>
	ed of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. twould have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise
committed while the defendant was on release (4) a period of not more than five years has ela	ve for which the defendant has been convicted was e pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i> apsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

Case: 4:20-cr-00707-AGF-SRW Doc. #: 15 Filed: 11/02/20 Page: 2 of 3 PageID #: 38

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
□ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment

Case: 4:20-cr-00707-AGF-SRW Doc. #: 15 Filed: 11/02/20 Page: 3 of 3 PageID #: 39 AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial Significant family or other ties outside the United States Lack of legal status in the United States Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration Prior failure to appear in court as ordered Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement Use of alias(es) or false documents Background information unknown or unverified Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION: The Court held a detention hearing on October 26, 2020. Defendant, his counsel and an Assistant United States Attorney all appeared by video conference. The Pretrial Services Report filed on October 27, 2020 is incorporated by reference. Defendant is charged by complaint with receipt of child pornography and attempted production of child pornography. During the hearing, the United States presented testimony from an FBI agent regarding the circumstances of Defendant's arrest. The agent stated that Defendant was being investigated because he had made several internet threats and when his devices were searched, evidence of child pornography was found. The Government argued that Defendant is a danger to the community because of the nature of the offenses. Defendant argued for release, stating that he has no prior convictions, a stable home, and he is not a flight risk. Defendant for Defendant and the Government were given the opportunity to provide supplemental briefing following the hearing. No supplemental briefing was filed. The Pretrial Services Officer recommended that Defendant be detained. After a review of the bail report, the evidence presented at the hearing, and arguments of counsel, I find that Defendant has not rebutted the presumption of detention. Therefore, the Government's motion for detention is hereby granted. Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. 11/02/2020 /s/Nannette A. Baker Date:

United States Magistrate Judge